



Quantum thermal machines (Quantum dynamics, Quantum transport, Quantum information)

Géraldine Haack FNS Prima grant







Thermal machine (XXIth)

SwissMAP general meeting Saanenmöser, 08.09.2020

What can be quantum in thermal machines ?



Few exemples

- Energy quantization (Exp: Linke)
- Many-body effects (Goold, Haack)
- Quantum phase coherence (Samuelson, Sothmann, Haack)

Is there a quantum advantage?

What can be quantum in thermal machines ?



Is there a quantum advantage?



- Exploiting incoherent thermal resources to create quantum correlations
- "Output" is quantum

Exploit dissipation for genuine Q. outputs

What can be quantum in thermal machines ?



New motivations

- Minimal models for out-of-equilibrium systems
- Dynamics of Open Q. systems
- Q. Thermodynamics <-> Q. information
- From system Q. properties to observables (quantum transport)

Out-of-equilibrium entanglement engines

Most basic model





Brask*, Haack*, Brunner, Huber, NJP 17 (2015)

Out-of-equilibrium entanglement engines

Most basic model





Brask*, Haack*, Brunner, Huber, NJP 17 (2015)

- Various systems:
 - Atom coupled to cavities driven by incoherent light
 - Qubits subject to noisy channel
 - Mechanical oscillators

Plenio, Huelga, PRL 88 (2002) Eisler, Zimboras, PRA 71 (2005) Hartmann et al., NJP 9 (2007) Quiroga et al., PRA 75 (2007) Linden et al., PRL 105 (2010) Bellomo et a., NJP 15 (2013) Brunner et al., PRE 89 (2014) Brask et al., NJP 17 (2015) Boyanovsky et al., PRA 96 (2017)

Specific interaction Hamiltonian (Ising-type, XX-type, ...)

Generation of steady-state entanglement Using only incoherent couplings to thermal baths

Out-of-equilibrium entanglement engines



 $H_s = E(|1\rangle\langle 1|_1 + |1\rangle\langle 1|_2)$ $H_{int} = g(|01\rangle\langle 10| + h.c.)$

Time-independent interaction Hamiltonian, time-independent bath couplings

Thermodynamics: no work, only heat exchange

- Autonomous quantum thermal machine
- Ground state is a product state when g < E (weak inter-qubit coupling)

In the energy eigenbasis
$$\{|00\rangle, |\Psi_{-}\rangle, |\Psi_{+}\rangle, |11\rangle\}, \qquad H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E - g & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E + g & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2E \end{pmatrix}$$

Solve master equation to obtain the steady-state solution



 $T_{h} \qquad \begin{aligned} H_{s} &= E\left(|1\rangle\langle 1|_{1} + |1\rangle\langle 1|_{2}\right) \\ H_{int} &= g\left(|01\rangle\langle 10| + h.c.\right) \end{aligned}$

• Probabilistic reset: $\rho(t + dt) = -i[H_s, \rho(t)] dt + \gamma dt \tau + (1 - \gamma dt)\rho(t)$

Thermal state $au=r|0
angle\langle 0|+(1-r)|1
angle\langle 1|$ Ground state population $r=rac{1}{1+e^{-E/(k_BT)}}$



 $T_{h} \qquad \begin{aligned} H_{s} &= E\left(|1\rangle\langle 1|_{1} + |1\rangle\langle 1|_{2}\right) \\ H_{int} &= g\left(|01\rangle\langle 10| + h.c.\right) \end{aligned}$

• Probabilistic reset: $\rho(t + dt) = -i[H_s, \rho(t)] dt + \gamma dt \tau + (1 - \gamma dt)\rho(t)$

Thermal state $\tau = r|0\rangle\langle 0| + (1-r)|1\rangle\langle 1|$ Ground state population $r = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-E/(k_BT)}}$

• Reset master equation (local): $\dot{\rho}(t) = -i[H_s, \rho(t)] + \gamma (\tau - \rho(t))$



 $T_{h} \qquad H_{s} = E(|1\rangle\langle 1|_{1} + |1\rangle\langle 1|_{2}) \\ H_{int} = g(|01\rangle\langle 10| + h.c.)$

• Probabilistic reset: $\rho(t + dt) = -i[H_s, \rho(t)] dt + \gamma dt \tau + (1 - \gamma dt)\rho(t)$

Thermal state $au=r|0
angle\langle 0|+(1-r)|1
angle\langle 1|$ Ground state population $r=rac{1}{1+e^{-E/(k_BT)}}$

- Reset master equation (local): $\dot{\rho}(t) = -i[H_s, \rho(t)] + \gamma (\tau \rho(t))$
- For two qubits: $\dot{\rho}(t) = -i[H_s + H_{int}, \rho(t)] + \gamma_h (\tau_h \otimes \operatorname{Tr}_h \rho(t) \rho(t)) + \gamma_c (\operatorname{Tr}_c \rho(t) \otimes \tau_c \rho(t))$
- Analytic steady-state

$$\bar{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & X & 0 \\ 0 & X & X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & X \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \left[p_c p_h \tau_c \otimes \tau_h + \frac{2g^2}{(p_c + p_h)^2} (p_c \tau_c + p_h \tau_h)^{\otimes 2} + \frac{g p_c p_h (r_c - r_h)}{p_c + p_h} \mathcal{Y} \right]$$



 $H_s = E(|1\rangle\langle 1|_1 + |1\rangle\langle 1|_2)$ $H_{int} = g(|01\rangle\langle 10| + h.c.)$

• Probabilistic reset: $\rho(t + dt) = -i[H_s, \rho(t)] dt + \gamma dt \tau + (1 - \gamma dt)\rho(t)$

Thermal state $\tau = r|0\rangle\langle 0| + (1-r)|1\rangle\langle 1|$ Ground state population $r = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-E/(k_B T)}}$

- Reset master equation (local): $\dot{\rho}(t) = -i[H_s, \rho(t)] + \gamma (\tau \rho(t))$
- For two qubits: $\dot{\rho}(t) = -i[H_s + H_{int}, \rho(t)] + \gamma_h (\tau_h \otimes \operatorname{Tr}_h \rho(t) \rho(t)) + \gamma_c (\operatorname{Tr}_c \rho(t) \otimes \tau_c \rho(t))$
- Analytic steady-state

$$\bar{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & X & 0 \\ 0 & X & X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & X \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \Big[p_c p_h \tau_c \otimes \tau_h + \frac{2g^2}{(p_c + p_h)^2} (p_c \tau_c + p_h \tau_h)^{\otimes 2} + \frac{g p_c p_h (r_c - r_h)}{p_c + p_h} \mathcal{Y} \Big]$$

Perturbative analysis of quantum reset models in a tri-partite configuration G. Haack & A. Joye, arXiv:2009.03054

Entanglement engine



$$H_s = E(|1\rangle\langle 1|_1 + |1\rangle\langle 1|_2)$$
$$H_{int} = g(|01\rangle\langle 10| + h.c.)$$

• Concurrence (measure of entanglement) : Given by the eigenvalues of $R = \sqrt{\sqrt{\bar{\rho}}\tilde{\bar{\rho}}\sqrt{\bar{\rho}}}$ Wooters, PRL (2001)

• Heat flow: $\bar{Q}_c = p_c E \langle 1 | \bar{\rho}_c - \tau_c | 1 \rangle$

(Parameters optimization for each temp. bias)



Entanglement engine



$$H_s = E(|1\rangle\langle 1|_1 + |1\rangle\langle 1|_2)$$
$$H_{int} = g(|01\rangle\langle 10| + h.c.)$$

• Concurrence (measure of entanglement) : Given by the eigenvalues of $R = \sqrt{\sqrt{\bar{\rho}}\tilde{\bar{\rho}}\sqrt{\bar{\rho}}}$ Wooters, PRL (2001)

• Heat flow: $\bar{Q}_c = p_c E \langle 1 | \bar{\rho}_c - \tau_c | 1 \rangle$

(Parameters optimization for each temp. bias)



New questions



-> Are thermal resources a fundamental limitation to generate useful quantum correlations?

Heralded entanglement engines : bipartite
multipartiteTavakoli et al., Quantum 2 (2018)Tavakoli et al., PRA 101 (2020)

-> Is there a relation between heat current and entanglement measures ? Thermo <-> quantum info Q. tomography <-> observables

Khandelwal, Palazzo, Brunner, Haack, New J. Phys. 22 (2020)

Model



 $H_s = \mathbf{E} (|1\rangle \langle 1|_1 + |1\rangle \langle 1|_2)$ $H_{int} = g (|01\rangle \langle 10| + h.c.)$

- Steady-state regime
 ALSO STRONG
 Weak inter-qubit interaction -> ground state is separable + "local" master equation
- Reset master equation (probabilistic reset of each qubit to the thermal state corresponding to its bath, incoherent coupling ~ Lindblad dissipators)
 Lindblad dissipators)
- Quantities : heat flow to the cold bath and concurrence as a measure of entanglement HEAT CURRENT NEGATIVITY (BUT EQUIVALENT) FOR THIS MODEL

Model in the weak inter-qubit coupling regime



$$H = H_{\rm S} + H_{\rm int} + H_{\rm B} + H_{\rm SB}$$

Local) master equation

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = \mathcal{L}\rho(t)$$

$$= -i[H_{\rm S} + H_{\rm int}, \rho(t)] + \sum_{j \in \{h,c\}} \gamma_j^+ \mathcal{D}(\sigma_+^{(j)}) \rho(t) + \gamma_j^- \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-^{(j)}) \rho(t)$$

Khandelwal, Palazzo, Brunner, Haack, New J. Phys. 22 (2020)

Results



Steady-state solution

$$\rho_{\rm ss} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & r_2 & c & 0\\ 0 & c^* & r_3 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c = \frac{2g(i\Gamma - 2\delta)}{\chi} \chi_{c} \chi_{h} \left(\frac{n_{h}(\epsilon_{h}, T_{h}) - n_{c}(\epsilon_{e}, T_{c})}{d_{i}} \right)$$

$$\frac{d_{i}}{d_{i}} \frac{d_{i}}{d_{i}} \frac{d_{i}}{d_{i}$$

At thermal equilibrium -> no heat current, no coherence in the steady-state regime 17

Critical heat current for successful operation of the machine



Measure of entanglement : negativity

$$N(\rho) \coloneqq \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} |\lambda_i| \quad \in [0, 05]$$

Eigenvalues of the partial transpose of the DM wrt one of the qubits

$$\rho_{ss} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & c & 0 \\ 0 & c^* & r_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_4 \end{pmatrix} \implies N(p) \neq O(=) |c|^2) r_1 r_y$$

$$J_{ss} is a fonction of c \longrightarrow J_{crit}$$

Exact relation between quantum correlations' measure and transport observable

Critical heat current for successful operation of the machine



-> heat-based entanglement witness (alternative to quantum tomography?)

Perspectives - motivations

- Minimal models for quantum (mesoscopic) thermal machines (thermal diodes, entanglement engines, thermoelectric engines)
- Development of theoretical tools for assessing their dynamics (quantum trajectories, perturbative analysis, strong coupling regime)
- Q. Thermodynamics <-> Q. information <-> Q. transport







S. Khandelwal, N. Palazzo, N. Brunner, G. Haack, New J. Phys. 22 (2020) G. Haack & A. Joye, arXiv:2009.03054



$$N(\rho_{\rm th}) = \max\left\{0, \frac{\sqrt{\cosh^2\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{T}\right) + \sinh^2\left(\frac{g}{T}\right) - 1} - \cosh\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{T}\right)}{2\left(\cosh\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{T}\right) + \cosh\left(\frac{g}{T}\right)\right)}\right\} \supset O(=) \frac{\sinh^2\left(\frac{g}{T}\right)}{22}$$

 $T_{\rm cold}$

H= Hs + Hint

22

J



6 2

Hofer et al., NJP 19 (2017) Gonzalez et al., Open S. Inf. D. 24 (2017) Mitchison, Plenio, NJP 20 (2018) De Chiara et al., NJP 20 (2018) Cattaneo et al., NJP 21 (2019)

Yh Yc

 $T_{\rm hot}$

127





